9/17/01

I. 6:30
all here (R. Patno)

II. Todd mot to app; Russ Sec.
4 to 0 (1 abst) Randi

08/13/01
Todd mot to app;
w/ 1 correction
item #. page 2 verified

Russ 2nd
Passed 4 to 0 (1 abst) Randy.

III.
a. Villages at Marys Creek Sec One
SUB - staff rec approval as presented.
note on final plat # of S. Light
from 38 to 42. &
face of the walls of ordinance
inside must be 30!

Todd mot to app; Randy 2nd.
Passed 5 to 0.

B. Bellanta @ G Tee 2 - Final
SWA - approval w/ corrections b4 c.e & c.c

- need to show street lights
- lot 3 + 11e, blk 1 15' side lot bldg lines on side streets as lot 12, bk 3
- SWBT letter

Robert mot to app w/ staff; Russ 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

C. Oakbrook Estates Sec 5
SWA - staff rec approval; also long list for final plat (2/3 req. on prel)

- lot 5, bk 3 req 15' bldg line
- conflict bet note 21 + 25
- elevation of ben. mark needs to be shown
- approved T/A
- 30' drain ease. shown bet lot 13 + 14, blk 2 must be a reserve
- shows spaces for sign. of addit members

Todd mot to app; w/ staff Russ 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

D. Hickory Place - Amd. Plats
staff rec approval.

- Signatures of dedicatory block
- park fee of $350 due
- volume of detention put on plat for 2 lots.

Robert mot to app; Todd 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

E. Cotton-Farrington - Final
JOH - approval w/ pts to be completed before C.A & C.
- all utility letters be submitted
- note 8 be corrected
"detention fees pd in lieu of local detention"

Todd mot to app w/ staff; Russ 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

F. Hampton Inn - Final
JOH - approval w/ note surveyor seal TIA be completed before sign.

Russ made a mot w/ staff; Todd 2nd

Russ - gen note #16 - why there?

JWH - cannot answer. owner wanted to put it on there.

Passed 5 to 0.

G. Savannah Trace Sec 2 (Prel).
JWH - rec app w/ notes for fin.
- Hold Harmless on fence
- park + road fees
- note 16 - need to add "side" between said + streets + (misspelled "from")

Robert mot to app; Todd 2nd.
Passed 5 to 0.

H. Sav. Ridge Sec 2 - (Prel)
JWH - approval as presented. reminded TIA re Away 4 is req.

Todd mot to app; Robert 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

I. Sav. Meadows Sec One -

# of incidents of Sav + SCK platting adjacent to each other. developer is trying to need to be able to put in Vol. + pg #s

- have a name + ___, want to add in before they have rec. (OR w/ him).

- add pt of beg on boundary description.

?. — Typo on ?

need SWBT letter, cable letter, + disk.

Todd motion to app ; Russ 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

J. Savannah Ridge Sec One - (Final)
Staff rec approval w/ items to be
- SWBT, Cable disk
- correct typo on note 14.
- Width of Hway to be shown on the plat
- rec notes be added before rec of this plat.

Robert mot to app ; Randy 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

K. Silverlake Com Park 10.
Sch- vic map be corrected.
approval of TIA.
Todd mot to app w/ sec; Russ 2nd.

Russ- is there order of signatures.

Sch- cty would not look @ w/out BOD4

Cathy- mylars do have signatures.

Passed 5 to 0.

L. Silverlake Com Park 11
Sch- app as presented.
TIA be req. for final.

Robert mot to app; Todd 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

M. Silverlake Com Park Ph 13
Sch- approval as presented.
Todd mot to app; Russ 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

N. Cabot Core Sec One - Final

WOH - rec approval w/ notes of items to be com

- 15' drain. easement shown on north prop line needs
- porportion of share of improve. cost of Magnolia/McLean intersection based on formula

$$100 \div \frac{\text{Total \# of lots.}}{} \times 25,$$

in accordance w/ Traf. studies

2add not to app w/ staff rec; Run 2nd.

Randy - 2 question

① alignment is aligning across from Colonial Dr.
WOH - as an entry street they have the right to not extend name. no addresses on that street.

② 16' u.e. on blk 1
lots in back. some showing up to Barclay place.
WOH - 15' drainage easement not same as 16' u.e.

Randy - so 3 lots will have 32' u.l. . .
his reason to ask is that → on blk 2 they are sharing the use + the drain. Easem. classification.

~~Randy~~ -

Rob. is TA not req.
JWH - yes. thats what we used to detn. partic. of improvements @ McLean + Magnolia.

Robert - 4 way stop @ Magnolia. Springfield, 4 way @ Harkey.
every couple of hund. yards down that street.
approved bunch of dev. @ McLean + Bailey.
awful lot of traffic for 2 lane road.

Rob - cant put turning lanes on this road.

JWH - one of things really looking to happen w/ bond election is to improv. Bailey.

Rob - ~~any plans for Widening~~
developers putting in 100-200 homes need to take into consid putting 100-200 cars on the road.

JOH - everyones indicated to ~~and~~ our opinion.

Robert - opposed to all the 4 way stops. As much bldg going on, don't see how the roads can handle.

~~Randy~~ Discussion

~~Randy~~ - JOH - traffic imp. of this sub is 500 cars per day.
Robert - when your talking about the "counts", if a sub. comes in puts 400 scenario do we take into consideration all the subdivisions being built.

discussion around traffic

JOH -

Russ - making improvements to intersection?
JOH - Widening the turns.

Todd - Will city or dev do?
JOH - contrib for city to do it.
based on estimated traffic (pro-rata share)

tape not side 2

Todd - they'll give $ to city. Who knows when they will actually do improvements.

Russ - would like to see a time frame on that. Car marked.

to approve

Motion fails 2 to 3

Dow & Russ (Robert, Todd, Randy)

D. SCR SF-2

Todd - app w/ changes be made -

① lots 11, 13, 20, blk 7 reg. 15' bldg line
② can not use bench mark RL68 1942.
dev. engineer was told this & was ignored. Suggest PL68 1993 or _____

Todd - was this on the last list of comments.

Todd - on final plat mark-up, & on P&Z mtg mark up. Upset me is that I didn't rec any discussion on it.

Rosalyn - that benchmark that you have has been worked out w/ Jeb Borches He stated that

our seveyor has worked it out w/ city

David Irving - this was fixed subsequent to we turned in.

JOH - 2 date w/ same notes...

Russ - if thats the case thats ok new mylars. why didnt run

how do I know this will be done?

Robert - all is what they are concerned any other comments.

Gary Cook, president,
this came up in our monthly dev. mtg w/ staff.
the change in the ord was pending while
Alan ptd out in the mtg. SCR is a PUD. ether are very spec. setbacks. he stated that these are a lot.

Russ - What is best for you John.
Jo H - Spec. don't remember.

Todd not to table SCR SF-2 and let all ques. be resolved & brought back.
Rob 2nd
Passed 5 to 0.

P. SCR Rec Ctr No 1
Jo H - Staff rec app.

- final plat show 10' a.s.e.
- benchmark elevs. be corrected.
- final plat get an updated rec ctr. plan
- PUD allows for 15% change.

Get resolved before final plat.

Com. Randy mot to app; Russ 2nd.

Robert is this the other plat w/ benchmark.
Russ - this is prel. will have time to change

Passed 5 to 0.

Q Variance Req. –

Scott – time crunch prob. w/ completion of facility – exp. ordinance requires permit
no reason to believe final plat will not be in same configuration. one time variance.

Staff rec. approval.

Robert mot to app; Todd 2nd

Robert – one reason we app just the prel. plat – gives them time to correct benchmark. for final.

Passed 5 to 0.

~~R. Discussion~~
Public Comment – Item N.

Vic Botnic – we did a very in depth traf. study.
Is there something I can do to satisfy your concern? looking for guidance. I can do.

Omar Escobar, LSA,
. Was a traf study done.
Will be glad to do our share
to correct any prob.
Is there any way
earmarking that St.

Russ – perhaps middle ground.
rather than ear marking can you

Vic – biggest prob. Will be from PISD.
they will cause most traffic.
Bailey would be a major throughfare,
pay
we can't 100%. other Subd. will also
I will try to get someone here to
Show

Robert – I live on it. Its worst then ~~Home~~ I
am explaining. if it comes up again
will look at it. I can't tell
you / or make rec. as to what

Randy – not a dead sub. take it to
Citcom. they can override it.

Vic - think you voted against because of lack of info.
Wld like ~~to~~ bring it to your attention

Donald - city does have stand & guidelines for the traffic analysis.

R Discussion Item.
Todd - maybe we should take the time to review the info. & have it on next mtg.

Todd - PUD should become its own catagory.

Todd - Wld like to add "classification of medi, & low density."

Russ - ~~Did~~ haven't done ext. literature ~~Could~~ Study on PUD.
Multi-purpose uses.

gives developer freedom to make it a better place to live.

referred to Beazer. arranged lots for

Todd - mislead people of Pearland.
back to density deal. "RE + R1"
be low density.

Randy - language changed.
become pt of manipulating
ordinance.

Randy - possible for a way for them
to show TIA...
shld not happen again.

Donald - workshop that shows what all
goes into study.

TWA - maybe req. TIA @ prel plat.

Donald - what pt is TIA due?

TWA - before app of final plat.
Todd - maybe change that.

Todd -
earmarking...

Randy- is it feasible to req. developer to put in a turning lane?

Scott - has been done before. based on TIA.

IV.

V. Adj 7:42 pm