P&Z

I. Call to Order : 8:02

II. App of minutes:
Richard - Items A + B. motion to forward contingent

I thought we tabled.

Todd - no.

Tobin - they did not meet standards. will rea
Todd mot to app; Donald Second.

6 to 0 (1 abstention)
Russ.

III. A. 501, 593, + 596.
Jetens mot to deny; Emil 2nd.
Passed 7 to 0.

B. 967 (R-2) to (R-2 PUD)
Donald mot to app; Emil 2nd.

Jetens - cannot app w/ 20' setbacks which are not allowed in zoning.
only allowed if it meets square footage.

Jobin - no way argumentative.
staffs inter. of PUD project is
when you come in w/ a unique
appurence

don't like to use a "variance" because

Rich - accepting something not allowed
in sub. ordinance.

Todd - what other benefits do they have
when coming to us w/ a PUD?

Rich - personal pref is not for it.

tape

Randy - trying to disguise.
some

this will be complex everytime a PUD
comes up.

Charles - what questioning the whole
concept of a PUD. it allows the dev
to come in + maximize green space +
use diff. lot sizes

The reason for this is to try to maximize open space.

Randy - taking advantage

Rich -
no density

Todd - if dev. came to us w/ just doing an R-2

WH - lot size, setbacks.

Jobin - PUD is for picking up more lots.
verbage of PUD is a major topic.

Russ - does it include det? Jobin excluded.

another concern -
we'd not want to live w/ M-1 across the street.
Passed 4 to 3 (Russ, Richard, Randy).

C. 971. (R-1) to (R-1 PUD)
Rich mot to deny; Randy 2nd.

1st of all - density is too great.
~~Why~~ its a R-1. changing to R-1 PUD.
bridged up to RE sub.
not good having small lots backing up to large lots.

Russ. 60' pool width - wld like to see bigger lots.

Randy - what is in setbacks?
Per. - in w/ zoning.

Emil gives.

Jobin - can only do ~~an~~ single family 1 per lot.
25' front bldg line 15' sideyards. setbacks are the same.

Mot to deny failed 4 to 3

Todd mot to app; Emil 2nd.

Randy - putting R-3 in R1 zoned areas. need to stop that.

ady { why do we have R-1?

Rich. Council is not passing R-3's or R-4's.

Todd - as Com. Setens we're going by ordinance. I agree. but we are going by what the ordinance says.

tape

Chairman called for a vote.
Passed 4 to 3. (Russ, Rich, + Randy)

973

D. Rich mot to app; Randy 2nd.
Passed 7 to 0.

E. 972 + 974.
(SD) – (R-E)

Emil mot to app; Todd 2nd
Passed 7 to 0.

F.
Charles:

Randy – mak. a rec. to staff to continue w/ this. ~~Staff is pri~~

Charles – staff is primary

JWH – first of all –
– cannot be a subdivision
– no ext of city water, sewer,

Emil – Did we have C. Att draw up a motion for us?

Rich - do we have to have

Jutt - certain requirements will have to be fulfilled.
- R.O.W.
-

will be less then a fifth of the cost.
do not want to collect plats.

~~[illegible]~~ will normally

Rich - w/ legal des shld be no prob to draw up a plat.

Jutt - yeah. it cost $7,000.

Jobin -

Jutt - prob is they will have to have a drainage plan drawn up.

Jobin -

Randy - make a mot to have staff look into and propose

Todd 2nd

Passed 7 to 0.

G. Discussion

Tobin - trying to expedite.
staff changed to have UPH + P+Z on same night.
problem w/ space.
wld like to see if you wld like to go back to old process.

Rich - likes the older process.
Russ - likes the old also.

Randy - in future we dont have joint meetings w/ Parks.
Todd - disagreed strongly.

Todd - likes the mondays off. wld be in the best interest of the City + the Citizens to have an extra WL.
Russ agrees.

Donald - prefer old way... how about the citizens?

Robin - no feedback from citizens

Charles - what about items from P&Z agenda 2 wks before. — meet every other wk

---

minimizes nights

Russ — doesn't alleviate the open space. still be here & pushed up stairs.

~~[illegible] feels uncomfortable [illegible] important~~

Charles - 2 options - meeting every other week. or every Monday.

V. Adj: 9:01.